UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,484	02/07/2006	Hironobu Iwashita	06027/LH	8776
	7590	EXAMINER		
220 Fifth Avenue			TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T	
16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/567,484	IWASHITA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	YEWEBDAR T. TADESSE	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 No. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression. 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-15, 19-55 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2006</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/06,2/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/567,484 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I in the reply filed on 11/11/2008 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 2-15, 19-55 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/11/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morozov et al (US 6,350,609).

Morozov et al discloses (see Figs 24 and 32 A and column 23, lines 29-37) a liquid ejection apparatus comprising a liquid ejection head having a nozzle (52) with a tip (see Fig 2); a substrate including insulating material (see column 13, 44-48); an electrode (50); a voltage applying device (power supply) and an ejection atmosphere adjusting unit (humidity controller 505) capable of controlling the dew point of the ejection atmosphere, the dew point is capable of being 9 degree centigrade or more and less than a water saturation temperature.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,484 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1 and 16-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuba et al (US 2005/0001868) in view of Takemoto et al (US 6,120122).

With respect to claim 1, Matsuba et al discloses (see Figs 1-3) a liquid ejection apparatus comprising a liquid ejection head having a nozzle (116) with a tip portion (see Fig 2); a substrate (paper 142) an electrode; a voltage applied to the piezoelectric element of the inkjet head (see paragraphs 90 and 94) and an ejection atmosphere adjusting unit (dew point control unit 123) for controlling the dew point of the ejection

atmosphere, the dew point capable of being 9 degree centigrade or more and less than a water saturation temperature. Matsuba et al lacks specifically teaching a voltage applying unit for applying a voltage to the ejection electrode. However, a voltage applying device for applying voltage to electrodes of an inkjet device is well known in the art; for instance as shown by Takemoto et al (see Fig 1A and 2 for the power supply 201 applying voltage to the electrodes of the inkjet device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a voltage applying unit in Matsuba et al to eject the liquid material onto the substrate.

As to claims 16-18, Matsuba et al lacks teaching the specific inner diameter of the nozzle. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the claimed inner diameter in Matsuba et al, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change of size is generally recognized as being within the ordinary level of skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

8. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morozov et al (US 6,350,609).

In Morozov et al the nozzle (capillary) is capable of having the claimed diameter (see column 11, lines 64-65 for a capillary having diameter of 20-30 microns). In any event, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the claimed inner diameter in Morozov et al, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change of size is generally recognized as being within the ordinary level of skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Application/Control Number: 10/567,484 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YEWEBDAR T. TADESSE whose telephone number is (571)272-1238. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yewebdar T Tadesse/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792